	A II AI BI -		
Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/621,455	V. KOTHURI, RAVI KANTH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fred Ferris	2128	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature of the Communication apperature of the Communication apperature of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 1. This communication is responsive to 19 January 2007.  2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1.3-17.20 and 22.	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate common of the	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b> subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiat	ive
<ul> <li>3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unally all b) Some* c) None of the:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	e been received. e been received in Applicatio	on No	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	MENT of this application.		
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	nitted. Note the attached EXA es reason(s) why the oath o	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF r declaration is deficient.	
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.		
(a) [] including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		v ( PTO-948) attached	
1) 🗋 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date	_		
(b) [] including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date	's Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CF	he drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d).	
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATI FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO	ERIAL must be submitted. Note the DLOGICAL MATERIAL.	
Attachment(s)			
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		formal Patent Application	
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413), Mail Date <u>20070327</u> .	
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date		Amendment/Comment	
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛭 Examiner's	Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
	9. 🗌 Other <u>·</u>	<u>_</u> ,	
		FRED FERRIS PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	
		1/11/	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-23 have been presented for examination based on applicant's amendment filed 19 January 2007. Applicants' amendment, and the examiners amendment of record appearing below, has now cancelled claims 2, 18, 19, 21, and 23. Claims 1, 3-17, 20 and 22 remain pending in this application and have now been allowed over the prior art of record.

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 19 January 2007 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Regarding applicants' response to drawing objections: The examiner withdraws the objection to the drawings in view of applicants' Figure 1 replacement sheet filed 19 January 2007.

Regarding applicants' response to 112(2) rejection: The examiner withdraws the 112(2) rejection in view applicants' amendment to the claims filed 19 January 2007.

Regarding applicants' response to 101 rejections: The examiner withdraws the 101 rejections in view applicants' amendment to the claims filed 19 January 2007, and the examiners' amendment of record appearing below.

Regarding applicants' response to double patenting rejection: Applicants' amendment to the claims, and the examiners' amendment of record, has now clearly distinguished the claimed subject matter of the instant invention over claims 1-20 and 1-

Art Unit: 2128

27 of copending Application Numbers 10/397,529 and 10/397,530 respectively. Accordingly, the examiner withdraws the double patenting rejection.

Regarding applicants' response to 102/103 prior art rejections: The examiner withdraws the 102/103 prior art rejections in view applicants' amendment to the claims filed 19 January 2007, and the examiners' amendment of record appearing below.

#### **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

3. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Chad Jackson on March 27, 2007.

# Amend the claims as follows:

1. (currently amended) A method for determining a [[with-distance]] within-distance relationship between a first geometry object and a second geometry object, the method comprising:

receiving a query; and

providing the query to a first filter configured to:

define an exterior approximation of the first geometry and the second geometry;

compute a distance between the exterior approximations;

Art Unit: 2128

compare the computed distance with a first predetermined distance to determine whether the second geometry satisfies a first filter condition with respect to the first geometry, and

if the first filter condition is satisfied, providing the second geometry to a query result set,

otherwise, <u>defining an interior approximation of the first geometry;</u>

<u>expanding the interior approximation by a second predetermined distance;</u>

and

comparing the expanded interior approximation with the second
geometry to determine if the second geometry satisfies the first filter condition
with respect to the first geometry; and

if the first filter condition is satisfied, providing the second geometry to a query result set,

otherwise, providing the first geometry and the second geometry to a second filter configured to perform [[processing]] an exact mathematical comparison of [[on]] the first geometry and the second geometry to determine whether the second geometry satisfies a second filter condition with respect to the first geometry; and

if the second filter condition is satisfied, providing the second geometry to the query result set,

otherwise, excluding the second geometry from the query result set,

Art Unit: 2128

wherein the result set specifies that the within-distance relationship between the first geometry object and the second geometry object is satisfied.

- 2. (cancelled)
- 3. (currently amended) The method according to claim [[2]] 1, wherein the first filter condition is satisfied if the second geometry is inside the expanded interior.
- 4. (currently amended) The method according to claim [[2]] 1, [[further comprising: the first filter further operable to:]] wherein the exact mathematical comparison includes computing [[compute]] an exact distance between the first geometry and the second geometry to determine if the second geometry satisfies the first filter condition with respect to the first geometry.
- 7. (currently amended) The method according to claim[[ 2]] 1, wherein defining the approximation of the first geometry comprises:

identifying a center of the first geometry;

identifying a minimum distance from the center to a boundary of the first geometry; and

defining a circle having the minimum distance as its radius and the center of the first geometry as its centér;

wherein determining whether the second geometry satisfies a first filter condition with respect to the first geometry comprises comparing the circle with a second geometry.

Art Unit: 2128

11. (currently amended) The method according to claim [[2]] 1, wherein each circle is entirely contained within the first geometry.

Page 6

- 17. (original) The method according to claim [[2]] 1, wherein the interior approximation comprises at least one tile.
  - .18. (cancelled)
  - 19. (cancelled)
- 20. (currently amended) A computer program product for performing a process of determining <u>within-distance</u> relationships among objects represented in a database, comprising:
- a processor operable to execute computer program instructions; and
  a memory operable to store computer program instructions executable by
  the processor, for performing the steps of:

receiving a query; and

providing the query to a first filter configured to:

define an exterior approximation of the first geometry and the second geometry;

compute a distance between the exterior approximations; compare the computed distance with a first predetermined distance

Art Unit: 2128

to determine whether the second geometry satisfies a first filter condition with respect to the first geometry, and

if the first filter condition is satisfied, providing the second geometry to a query result set,

otherwise, <u>defining an interior approximation of the first geometry;</u>

<u>expanding the interior approximation by a second predetermined distance;</u>

<u>and</u>

comparing the expanded interior approximation with the second
geometry to determine if the second geometry satisfies the first filter condition
with respect to the first geometry; and

if the first filter condition is satisfied, providing the second geometry to a query result set,

otherwise, providing the first geometry and the second geometry to a second filter configured to perform [[processing]] an exact mathematical comparison of [[on]] the first geometry and the second geometry to determine whether the second geometry satisfies a second filter condition with respect to the first geometry; and

if the second filter condition is satisfied, providing the second geometry to the query result set,

otherwise, excluding the second geometry from the query result set, wherein the result set specifies that the within-distance relationship between the

Art Unit: 2128

first geometry object and the second geometry object is satisfied.

21. (cancelled)

22. (currently amended) A system for performing a process of determining relationships among objects represented in a database, comprising:

a processor operable to execute computer program instructions; and a memory operable to store computer program instructions executable by the processor, for performing the steps of:

receiving a query; and

providing the query to a first filter configured to:

define an exterior approximation of the first geometry and the second geometry;

compute a distance between the exterior approximations;

compare the computed distance with a first predetermined distance to determine whether the second geometry satisfies a first filter condition with respect to the first geometry, and

if the first filter condition is satisfied, providing the second geometry to a query result set,

otherwise, <u>defining an interior approximation of the first geometry;</u>

<u>expanding the interior approximation by a second predetermined distance;</u>

Art Unit: 2128

<u>and</u>

comparing the expanded interior approximation with the second
geometry to determine if the second geometry satisfies the first filter condition
with respect to the first geometry; and

if the first filter condition is satisfied, providing the second geometry to a query result set,

otherwise, providing the first geometry and the second geometry to a second filter configured to perform [[processing]] an exact mathematical comparison of [[on]] the first geometry and the second geometry to determine whether the second geometry satisfies a second filter condition with respect to the first geometry; and

<u>if the second filter condition is satisfied, providing the second</u> geometry to the query result set,

otherwise, excluding the second geometry from the query result set, wherein the result set specifies that the within-distance relationship between the first geometry object and the second geometry object is satisfied.

23. (cancelled)

Examiners' Amendment summary: Claims 2, 18, 19, 21, and 23 have been cancelled. Claims 5, 6, 8-10, and 12-16 remain unchanged over applicants' amendment filed 19 January 2007.

Art Unit: 2128

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1, 3-17, 20 and 22 are allowed over the prior art of record.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Applicants are disclosing a computer implemented method, system and computer code for determining a relationship between geometry objects by finding a minimum bounding rectangle of the query geometry, determining a minimum bounding rectangle of each geometry, and identifying candidate data geometries by determining whether data geometries fulfill a first filter condition with respect to the query geometry by comparing the minimum bounding rectangles until no more data remains. This has been disclosed in the prior art of record.

While these elements are individually disclosed in the prior art, the prior art of record does not meet the conditions as suggested in MPEP section 2132, namely:

"The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The elements must be arranged as required by the claim, but this is not an **ipsissimis verbis** test, i.e., identity of terminology is not required. **In re Bond**, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990)."

In particular, the prior art of record does not disclose the specific combination of system elements and sequence of method steps inclusive of defining an interior approximation of the first geometry and expanding the interior approximation by a second predetermined distance, and subsequently comparing the expanded

Art Unit: 2128

interior approximation with the second geometry to determine if the second geometry satisfies the first filter condition with respect to the first geometry, and if the first filter condition is satisfied, providing the second geometry to a query result set, otherwise, providing the first geometry and the second geometry to a second filter configured to perform an exact mathematical comparison of the first geometry and the second geometry to determine whether the second geometry satisfies a second filter condition with respect to the first geometry; and if the second filter condition is satisfied, providing the second geometry to the query result set, otherwise, excluding the second geometry from the query result set, where the result set specifies that the within-distance relationship between the first geometry object and the second geometry object is satisfied, as now recited in independent claims 1, 20, and 22. Dependent claims 3-17 are deemed allowable as depending directly or indirectly from claim 1.

The prior art of record discloses certain elements of the claimed invention as follows:

- "Efficient Processing of Large Spatial Queries Using Interior Approximations",

Kothuri et al: Teaches determining a with-distance relationship between a first

geometry object and a second geometry object by defining an exterior approximation of
the first geometry and the second geometry and computing a maximum distance
between the exterior approximations and further comparing the computed maximum

Art Unit: 2128

distance with a first predetermined distance to determine whether the second geometry satisfies a first filter condition with respect to the first geometry. However Kothuri does not disclose the features relating to including/excluding geometries from a query result set based on the filter conditions from an expanded interior approximation as described above and now required by independent claims 1, 20, and 22.

- US Patent 6,438,269 issued to Kim: Also discloses determining a with-distance relationship between a first geometry object and a second geometry object by defining an exterior approximation of the first geometry and the second geometry and computing a maximum distance between the exterior approximations and further comparing the computed maximum distance with a first predetermined distance to determine whether the second geometry satisfies a first filter condition with respect to the first geometry. However Kim again does not disclose the features relating to including/excluding geometries from a query result set based on the filter conditions from an expanded interior approximation as described above and now required by independent claims 1, 20, and 22.

The features noted above relating to the specific combination of system elements and sequence of method steps, as now recited in independent claims 1, 20, and 22 renders the claimed invention non-obvious over the prior art of record. Dependent claims 3-17 are deemed allowable as being dependent from independent claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,455 Page 13

Art Unit: 2128

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Ferris whose telephone number is 571-272-3778 and whose normal working hours are 8:30am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3700. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached at 571-272-2279. The Official Fax Number is: (571) 272 8300

Fred Ferris, Primary Examiner
Simulation and Emulation, Art Unit 2128
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Randolph Building, Room 5D19
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22313
Phone: (571-272-3778)
Fred.Ferris@uspto.gov

March 27, 2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
2100